

One Man's Opinion

By Gerry Chong

"A democracy cannot exist as a permanent form of government. It can only exist until the voters discover they can vote themselves largess from the public treasury. From that moment on, the majority always votes for the candidates promising them the most benefits from the public treasury, with the result that a democracy always collapses over a loose fiscal responsibility, always followed by a dictatorship.".......(Alexander Tyler, in his 1770 book, Cycle of Democracy)

Is it possible that a prophecy 250 years old could foretell the future of this country? I'm sure the vast majority of the populace would poo-poo the idea of an American dictatorship, but is it possible we are headed in that direction? Consider this:

A recent University of Arizona study says that with ownership or control of the automotive, investment banking, commercial banking, and insurance industries, the Federal Government now controls 30 percent of the U. S. Economy.

President Obama has appointed 33 Czars to help craft policy. These czars have never been vetted or approved by Congress, and the President has refused to allow them to testify before Congress. Moreover, their recommendations are exempt from the Freedom of Information Act so the Constitutional responsibility of Congress to provide oversight over the Administration has been circumvented.

The President has supported an effort to dictate compensation to those firms receiving bailout money, but Obama's Pay Czar has recommended extension of that control to include any firm under the regulation of the FDIC. Congressman Barney Frank, on October 30, recommended that those banks that received bailout funds, share that largess with smaller banks. That would add credence to the authority of the Pay Czar's claim of authority to control compensation over all financial institutions. President Obama has commended the Congressman for his ingenuity.

The House version of the Healthcare Reform Bill contains a provision that requires everyone to purchase insurance. More ominously, the bill prohibits any individual from purchasing private insurance after a proscribed date. The combination of those two provisions creates a government-only system. Since healthcare constitutes 16 percent of the economy, the Federal Government will have upped its control of the economy to 47 percent.

In 2006, in a speech before the AFL-CIO, Barack Obama openly declared "I am a proponent of a single payer system." With that statement as the guiding principle, we are faced with the Pelosi proposal, a 1,990 page tome of legal gibberish containing tricks, traps and taxes on every page. Pelosi wants a vote on her plan within 72 hours of its unveiling in order to prevent anyone from understanding what it contains before voting.

We will specifically discuss both the House and Senate versions of health care proposals when more is known, but one standout feature of both proposals is that the fees and taxes charged to the public will commence immediate, but insurance policies will not begin until 2014. In other words, taxpayers will pay for 10 years in order to receive only five years of benefits! Would you buy that program from a door-to-door salesman? Another proposal is that any state that

See Opinion, page 24

from page 5

Penguin

considered a suspect in assassinations that took place in Tacoma, Washington, Montgomery, Alabama and other areas near D.C.

At this time, it appears that Muhammad will be offed by lethal injection, although his lawyers are scurrying around, trying to come up with a strategy to spare the murderer his due. His mouthpieces are claiming that Muhammad is mentally ill. Probably is. Any dirt bag who would lie in wait and shoot down innocent folks who were just trying to put a little fuel in their car doesn't deserve one iota of leniency. Next!

Fellow Californians, ready for another round of Governor Moonbeam? With San Francisco Mayor Gavin Newsom abandoning his farfetched bid for the Democratic nomination in next year's race for the governorship, state Attorney General Jerry Brown is the only major Demo bidding for the prize. The primary won't be held for seven months, but Newsom bowed out, citing family responsibilities as well as his duties serving the fine people in the City by the Bay, making sure that S.F. remains a Sanctuary City for all, working hard for all of the salient issues so dear to the hearts of the same sex marriage supporters. But what sells in No Cal didn't seem to resonate in the rest of the state, so Newsom, 42, folded up his campaign tent. Leaving the 71-year-old Brown, who ran the state from 1975 to 1983, as the only credible candidate on the left side of the playing field. Though Brown hasn't officially become a candidate. And he doesn't really have to take that step at this time cuz he is the only Demo being currently considered who has the cash, the experience and the name recognition factor. Wouldn't it be ironic if the Demos, the self-proclaimed party of new ideas, new this, new that, turned to a 70-plus-year-old white male as their standard bearer as they try to

send one of their own to Sacramento after the 2010 election?

The Newsom announcement will send the political pundits spinning in circles, with names like Senator Dianne Feinstein and L.A. Mayor Tony V. probably resurfacing. But it appears highly unlikely that the incredibly dark-haired Feinstein will make another run at the governorship; she seems pretty ensconced in Washington D.C. as a senior shaker and mover, while Tony V. would face many of the same problems that plagued the Newsom campaign. He would be a parochial candidate, with little name recognition or probable traction with voters north of El Lay. And while the veteran politico Feinstein could probably crank up the fundraising machinery and rake in some bucks, a Tony V. campaign would have a virtually impossible time raising the \$15 mil or so needed to fight the inevitability of a Brown nomination. On the Republican side, from all I can gather, the party of Reagan has a couple of very, very, well-heeled folks fighting for the nomination. Meg Whitman is one of the viable contenders; she used to be the CEO of a little business called eBay. She probably has a few bucks available to back her run for office. And Steve Poizner is currently the state Insurance Commissioner. Mr. Poizner, according to some semi-reliable research, has a net worth near \$1 billion smackers. So he's got the cache to be in it for the long haul. Can see it now. Ex-Governor Moonbeam vs. possible-Governor Megabucks. And the winner is?? •

Choosing The Right Medicare Drug Plan

By Jason Alderman

Prescription drug costs can take a double toll on retirees, who often live on fixed incomes and are also likely to need more – and more costly – medications, as they get older. That's why the government created Medicare Part D, a voluntary program that subsidizes prescription drugs for Medicare recipients.

If you're eligible for Medicare, be aware that the six-week annual Part D open enrollment period for 2010 takes place from November 15 through December 31, 2009. Except in a few circumstances, if you miss that window you'll need to remain in your current plan until 2011.

Here are a few details on Medicare Part D: Eligibility. If you're 65 or older or have certain qualifying disabilities, you are eligible to participate in Medicare. (Go to www. medicare.gov for eligibility information.) To sign up for Medicare Part D you must also be enrolled in Part A (hospitalization), and/or Part B (doctor visits and outpatient services). Under Part C, people enroll in a private Medicare plan that usually provides limited prescription drug coverage – be sure to check before joining Part D.

Signing up. Even if you think you don't currently need prescription drug coverage, remember you may face a late penalty that grows monthly if you don't sign up within your initial enrollment period – typically the three months before and after the month you turn 65. Alternate coverage. If you already

have prescription drug coverage through an employer or union plan and it is considered "creditable" (equal or better coverage than Part D), you can stay in that plan without incurring a late penalty for later joining Part D. Ask your current provider if their plan is considered creditable; if so, weigh its costs and features carefully before switching to Part D – it may be a better bargain.

Choosing a plan. Dozens of Part D plans exist with widely varying costs, coverage and convenience. When comparing plans:

First go to www.medicare.gov and click on "Prescription Drug Plan," where you'll find helpful information on how the plan works and factors to consider when choosing a plan.

Use the interactive Drug Plan Finder to compare features of plans available in your area. (You can also do this by phone at 1-800-633-4227.)

Each plan has a "formulary," which is a list of drugs covered at varying co-payment amounts. Formularies vary widely and can change from year to year, so it's important to compare plans annually.

Enter all your medications and dosages into the Finder for comparison. Once you've entered your information into the Drug Plan Finder, you can compare plans side-by-side in terms of overall cost, deductible and copayment amounts, user ratings, and other factors. In addition to the Medicare site, another good resource is AARP (www.aarp. org/medicare).

WELLS HO FARGO M

HOME MORTGAGE

There Is A Reason Wells Fargo Home Mortgage Is One Of The Nation's Leading Retail Mortgage Lenders

- Wide Selection of Conventional & Government Loans
- PriorityBuyer[®] preapproval
- Wells Fargo Closing Guarantee^{™1}
- Responsive Service and Professional Knowledge

Liz Worden



Home Mortgage Consultant 1701 South Elena Avenue Redondo Beach, CA 90277 **310-316-9522 Phone 310-487-8957 Mobile**

http://www.wfhm.com/elizabeth-worden elizabeth.worden@wellsfargo.com



